

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE V
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/602,844 06/24/2003 Don Quy Nguyen 024-28135 9283 7590 12/02/2005 **EXAMINER** James E. Bradley THOMPSON, KENNETH L BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 61389 Houston, TX 77208-1389 3672

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/602,844	NGUYEN, DON QUY
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAII INC DATE of this communication and	Kenneth Thompson	orrespondence address
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>6 September 2005</u> .		
•	action is non-final.	·
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-19 and 21-24</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16 and 24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,11,12,14,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected.		
7)  Claim(s) 4,6-9,13,15,19,22 and 23 is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The indicated allowability of claims 2-3, 6-9 and 11-19 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference to Fox, U.S. 4,277,110. Rejections based on the newly cited reference follow.

#### Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claim 24 is directed to the vanes shown in Species III, non-elected without traverse. Accordingly, claim 24 has been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

During a telephone conversation with James E. Bradley on 24 May 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Species I, claims 1-9, 1 1-15 and 17-19. Claim 16 was withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner as being drawn to a non-elected invention and erroneously included as a rejected claims in the first non-final rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 11, 12, 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fox, U.S. 4,277,110.

Regarding claims 1-3, 5, 11, 12, 14, 17 and 18, Fox discloses all the claimed limitations including the clearance between the cone and the pin which houses the seal being eccentric such that it changes upon rotation (col. 3, lines 24-49). Applicant should note that the axis about which said annular surface of the bearing pin is concentric, is not required to be the rotational axis of the cone; the variable volume seal cavity nor entrance portion of the cavity, is limited to the cone nor pin; and the seal face (19) of the bearing pin is located with the cavity (lumen of 14) of the cone wherein the mouth of the cavity is *circular* about an offset bearing axis and the bearing pin axis.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 6-9, 13, 15, 19, 22 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4 and 5 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Thompson whose telephone number is 571 272-7037. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,844 Page 4

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

26 November 2005

Kenneth Thompson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672